investment any place in the state of Nebraska impact the state
of Nebraska from the standpoint of costs to government. And
there was one final economic modeling system used, and that was
called REMI, which is a Regional Economic Modeling, and the "I"
was simply Inc., that was the name of the company. And that one
was designed to give an indication of what the benefits and
costs would be to a development in a region, an area around, not
necessarily in the same state but in the state and in adjacent
states. The reason I mention that at this time, and the reason
I put my light on again is that it's my belief that those models
for what has been...come to be described on the floor as the
Union Pacific portion, have been done, and they have been run,
and they cause not a great deal of concern because the...all
three of those indicators indicate that there is a potential for
some loss of revenue from this economic development over a
period of time.   But as I've told you before, I do support
LB 620 because I believe that the potential, after we've
measured it as accurately as we can re...measure it, this at
this stage in ga...of time in the year 2001, give us an
indication that the difference is so close that it's certainly
worth making the effort to encourage other economic development.
Now I'm getting to the part I would like to have a response to.
As I understand then the Wickersham amendment, and I really find
it difficult not to support AM1479, is that this would be used
prospectively in the future by the board as part of the analysis
of whether to approve future agreements with the state of
Nebraska. And what I missed someplace in this discussion is why
that is bad.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you. Is that time?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kristensen. Are you giving your time
to Senator Kristensen?

SENATOR COORDSEN: No. Was that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Oh.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Did you say time?